

Permanent file copy  
Do not remove.

2000

• • • • •

far "least on to the Administration of the approval of the "case" for  
its Cuban policy. First, completely failing to present a dis-  
agreement concerning the "threat" posed by Cuban weapons  
and troops on the island.

Some declare that the House Report is "a good business"  
through the Defense Department. "It needed" only a briefing  
and the President's personal approval. They conclude "a  
persuasive case for the authority to go into Mexico" and "a good  
case, too, for our present situation." The Baltimore Sun asserts,  
With the Washington Post and Washington Star, the Sun sees Admin-  
istration critics not "regretful to see us do" but the questions "they  
have been posing" "but now they do" "as they invade Cuba?"

Even some of our former partners in the Admins. Councils' Commission must be respectful and give the Commission the benefit of the absence of any threatening and based up "We are exempt"atory "democratic" evaluation as forewarned. Says the Council's inquiry, for "there is nothing to show that wrong in the administrative and irresponsible claims made by some politically motivated critics" somewhat similar v. Serious Human's mass "error."

"However, the Administration's defense of its policy in Cuba cannot  
erase certain glaring facts," opponents maintain. Cuba is an  
armed Soviet base, and so long as she remains so, "our security  
and that of our neighbors in the Americas will be seriously  
endangered," the Philadelphia Inquirer agrees. Scripps-Howard  
stresses "the lack of adequate information which remains disturbing";  
furthermore, it holds "that the situation of the nature of the  
weapons in Cuba 'has been widely and exaggerated' by the Adminis-  
tration in so far as Soviet arms are concerned."

The Wall Street Journal writes that "the most unencouraging thing about the whole business" is the "unassured fact" that sizeable Soviet forces and soldiers remain in Cuba.

"Lack of any apprehension of U.S. aggression" is protested by some.  
 "There are other measures available to us, short of invasion,"  
 such as "a tight naval blockade" and "pressure on Khrushchev" to  
 recall his troops, several members. [Walter Reuther, Phil M. Inquirer;  
 Sen. Symington spoke.]